

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,540	09/30/2003	Anthony J. Gounalis	L0562.70044US00	5964
7590 06/08/2005			EXAMINER	
Randy J. Pritzker			SOTOMAYOR, JOHN B	
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02210			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/675,540	GOUNALIS, ANTHONY J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John B. Sotomayor	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m eply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) ute, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,9 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3,4,6,7,10,11 and 13-18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of	ccepted or b) objected ne drawing(s) be held in ab ection is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuhrdt ('622).

According to the remarks filed March 21, 2005 Applicant argues, inter alia, that the present invention is directed to a system that determines a receiver scan strategy for detecting emitters. Applicant's remarks state that "The summary may not apply to each of the independent claims, and the language of the independent claims may differ in material respects from the summary provided." It is argued that Claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the prior art since the prior art fails to disclose or suggest, determining, for at least one emitter, a period at which a jamming signal is applied and determining the detection period is based on the period, wherein the act of determining the detection period is based on the period in which the jamming signal is applied." Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose that the operation of the receiver used to detect signals from the victim is altered based on the operation of the jammer, thus the prior art does not disclose determining a detection period, based on the period in which the jamming signal is applied," as recited in claim 1. It is also argued

Application/Control Number: 10/675,540 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

that the prior art does not determine a detection period for an emitter based on the period in which the jamming signal is applied. Some of the arguments are much more specific than what the claims actually recite. The claims are set forth in a broad manner so that it is difficult to appreciate the argued patentable distinctions over the prior art. As applied to claim 1, for example, the prior art meets the claim language in that a receiver is capable of detecting emitter signals while a jamming signal is applied. A receiver which detects a jamming signal will meet this claim language. Determining a detection period based on the period in which a jamming signal is applied is confusing at best and is unclear as to what Applicant is claiming to be the invention.

The claims, as best understood, are considered to be met by Kuhrdt ('622) who disclose a system for detecting emitter signals and for determining when a jamming signal is applied to the receive signal (see column 3, lines 48-60).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art show various radar systems.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,540 Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Sotomayor whose telephone number is 571-272-6978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom H. Tarcza, can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John B. Sotomayor Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662